

Proposal Title :	257 Hermitage Road, Pokolbin	- RU1 to RU4 land		
Proposal Summary :	 The planning proposal seeks to Rezone parts of the land to RU4 and enable subdivision of 10ha lots (up to 30 lots). Recognise the significance of the site as a north western gateway into the vineyards district 			
PP Number :	PP_2016_SINGL_003_00	Dop File No :	15/16887	
Proposal Details			£	
Date Planning Proposal Received :	22-Apr-2016	LGA covered :	Singleton	
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Singleton Shire Council	
State Electorate :	UPPER HUNTER	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details			2 *	
Street : 25	7 Hermitage Road			
Suburb : Po	kolbin City :		Postcode: 2320	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 5 DP 823737			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	James Shelton		(a)	
Contact Number :	0249042713			
Contact Email :	james.shelton@planning.nsw.go	ov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Ken Horner			
Contact Number :	0265787290			
Contact Email :	khorner@singleton.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Dat	a		<u>g</u>	
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy	No	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	300.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	30
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
	Primary Production with m	s mostly cleared with grazing acti ninimum lot size provisions for th 4km of road frontage along Hern	e subdivision of 40ha parcels.
*	previously permitted to 10	historical subdivision, where sub hectares or lower. Council consi yle agriculture and tourism develo the subject site.	ders this a successful example
	Council proposes to use the district from the west.	hese precincts to establish a 'gat	eway' entrance to the vineyards

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The objective of the Planning Proposal is to Rezone the land from RU1 Primary Production to RU4 Primary Production Small Lots. • Introduce a new local provision that enables subdivision to a minimum lot size of 10ha, .

with a dwelling entitlement, where the consent authority is satisfied that the dwelling is required for viticulture or tourist related uses (yielding up to 30 lots). Recognise the significance of the site as a north western gateway into the vineyards

district by enabling development as described above.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The explanation of provisions provides the parameters to amend Singleton LEP 2013. this will include an amendment to the land zoning map and may require an amendment to the minimum lot size map

The PP does not include the draft local clause which will require further investigation, however the intentions of the clause are clear.

More significantly however the PP does not provide any guidance or policy on how the clause will be applied and regulated overtime. For example what area of viticulture is adequate and/or what extent of tourism is required to justify the subdivision and dwelling. Nor does the PP explain whether there is a requirement for this viticulture/tourism use to be maintained overtime and, if so, how ongoing maintenance will be monitored etc.

This matter is further discussed in the assessment of the proposal.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

1.5 Rural Lands

2.3 Heritage Conservation

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : The site forms part of the Critical Industry Cluster Land (Viticulture) in the Strategic Agricultural Land Map under State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007. The Critical Industry Cluster originated in the Upper Hunter Strategy Regional Land Use Plan (2012) and this plan should be considered when assessing the proposal.

The site is located within the Pokolbin vineyards district that is recognised in the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy. This Strategy should be considered in assessing this proposal.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

Rural Lands SEPP and Upper Hunter Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (UHSRLUP)

While the PP provides arguments that the proposal is consistent with the planning principles, the Department's assessment of the Rural Lands SEPP and UHSRLUP identified inconsistencies as outlined in the Assessment of the Planning Proposal.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The maps provided are adequate for community consultation.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment

The proposal is not considered a low impact proposal, hence if the gateway is approved, a 28 day exhibition would be appropriate as the proposal has significant implications for the vineyards district.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

If the recommendation is not adopted, then targeted consultation with the Hunter Valley Wine Industry, Hunter Valley Wine Country Tourism Association and the Department of Primary Industries (Agriculture) is required prior to the public exhibition for 28 days, with the response from these groups being addressed within the PP prior to exhibition.

Note that the recommendation includes the need for further strategic work in the vineyards district to provide greater certainty for the future development in the area.

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? No

If No, comment :

There is insufficient strategic justification to support the expansion of this type of development at this point in time. It is considered that the proposed provisions are inadequate to manage potential land use conflict and that the proposal has the potential to establish a precedent that threatens the Pokolbin Vineyards District and the Viticultural Critical Industry Cluster more broadly.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation **The Singleton Standard Instrument was published in September 2013.** to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

Council identifies that the proposal is needed because of; 1. perceived tourism accommodation demand and a desire to establish a 'gateway' to the vineyards.

2. the current RU1 zone presents potential land use conflict; and

3. it is consistent with the adjoining RU4 land which are 10ha lots and associated agricultural, tourism and residential uses.

1. Council has attempted to justify the proposal based on the tourism potential of the area and increasing tourism demand. However they have also dismissed the use of a SP3 Tourism zone because the area is a Critical Industry Cluster (CIC) for Viticulture and the focus on tourism should be to support agricultural production rather than dominate. The Department of Primary Industries have cautioned against the growth of Agri-tourism in their submission on the Hermitage Road Planning Study. There is insufficient evidence to suggest that tourism at 10 hectare minimum lot size, extending beyond the current boundaries of the existing subdivision, will not create further land use conflict nor impact on agricultural productivity more broadly. It is also considered that such a proposal will establish an undesirable precedent for the Pokolbin Vineyards District and CIC more broadly.

With regards to tourism demand the data presented on tourism accommodation illustrates a growth of 1.4% in tourism across the Hunter Region, requiring an additional 400 accommodation rooms between 2013-2020. There has been no assessment of the portion of these rooms required within the vineyards district nor the extent by which 2014/15 amendments for an additional 250 self contained tourist units within the vineyards have addressed demand. Additional information is provided on the occupancy rates of self contained accommodation within the vineyards, suggesting occupancy rates are increasing and reached 47.9% between April -October 2012. The assumption appears to be that this occupancy rate does not need to increase further and that additional supply is

required. Assumptions regarding tourist numbers and travel patterns, as well as population growth in the broader area and its contribution to increased tourism demand, requires further consideration.

2. Permissible land uses in the adjoining RU1 zone (e.g. heavy industrial storage, truck Depots, extractive industries, offensive industries etc.) have been identified as potentially causing land use conflict within the area. These uses are only permissible with consent and the minimum lot size is 40 hectares, limiting further subdivision potential. The potential land use conflict can be managed through the development application process. Given the sites location and the typical access and location attributes of the above types of development activities, it is highly unlikely that such activities would occur. Simply extending the RU4 zone will not resolve land use conflict, but bring RU4 uses in closer proximity to more accessible areas of RU1 zoned land. This issue was identified by the Department of Primary Industries who raised concerns with expanding the RU4 zone in their submission to Council on the Hermitage Road Planning Study.

3. The site lies adjacent to a historical subdivision, where subdivision of almost 70 lots was permitted to 10 hectares or lower. The proposal argues that subdivision to 10 hectares is consistent with the existing land use pattern in the area, however such subdivision has only been permitted on one boundary to the site and larger lots dominate elsewhere. Council considers this existing subdivision a successful example of small scale boutique style agricultural and tourism developments and are seeking to replicate this scenario on the subject site. However the contribution to the agricultural productivity of the Critical Industry Cluster of this style of development is questionable.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

Upper Hunter Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (2012), State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 and related s117 directions.

The site forms part of the Critical Industry Cluster Land (Viticulture) in the Strategic Agricultural Land Map under State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007. The Critical Industry Cluster originated in the Upper Hunter Strategy Regional Land Use Plan (2012) and is described as a localised concentration of interrelated productive industries based on an agricultural product (in this case viticulture), that provides significant employment opportunities and contributes to the identity of the region. The Plan requires Councils to include appropriate zonings and provisions to protect the identified land.

The proposal identifies that the land is suitable for viticultural production and argues that it is protecting the Critical Industry Cluster by permitting smaller subdivision because this will facilitate horticultural and viticultural opportunities. Council cites the existing neighbouring subdivision as an example of this. However the contribution to the agricultural productivity of the Critical Industry Cluster of this style of development is questionable. It is considered that the proposal will more likely facilitate tourism opportunities that may be inconsistent with the agricultural value of the land and lead to increased land use conflict.

The historical subdivision contains more than 80 hectares of vines across some 22 individual lots (out of a total 67). The smallest vineyard is estimated at 0.23 hectares and the largest 6.4 hectares. While some of these are producing grapes, and there are several cellar doors, they are much smaller than the average operational vineyard found within the LGA which is 15 hectares. Tourism is a larger component of the businesses in the area with approximately 26 lots (out of the total 67) operating with guest accommodation and/or restaurants. Finally an estimated 33 lots out of the 67 provide only residential uses. While there may be a place for this type of development within the Vineyard District, proceeding without a broader strategic plan for the ongoing protection of the productivity of the Critical Industry Cluster poses too great a risk.

The July 2014 Report by JBA (supporting the Proposal) indicates that "Hermitage Road is the most significant vineyard area in the Singleton LGA and forms the northern section of the Pokolbin vineyard area that dominates the Hunter Valley wine industry." The area is considered significant and a strategic plan for the broader vineyards district is necessary before substantial change is made. Any development not aligning with the current strategic planning framework may have a significant economic, social and scenic quality impact on the district.

The NSW Department of Trade and Investment has highlighted the coal exploration potential and petroleum exploration licence on the land and has raised concerns with further fragmentation and the potential for intensification of dwellings within the area. It is noted that due to the location of the site within the CIC a site verification certificate or gateway certificate would be required for any mining related proposal however despite this, consistency with S117 direction 1.3 remains unresolved.

Lower Hunter Regional Strategy

While the area covered by the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy does not apply to this site, the Cessnock LGA component of the Pokolbin Vineyards district has specific planning considerations for the vineyards district, being:

"The vineyards district experiences pressure for development that is often inconsistent with its rural/grape-growing character. Development in the vineyards district, therefore, needs to be carefully managed to avoid detracting from its character."

The dominant character of the vineyards district is 40ha lots in a rural setting. The proposal's impact on the broader districts character is inconclusive and unknown.

Rural Lands SEPP and related s117 directions

While the PP provides arguments that the proposal is consistent with the SEPP, the Department's assessment identified inconsistencies and uncertainty regarding the PP's consideration of clause 7, Rural Planning Principles and clause 8 - Rural Subdivision Principles. In relation to this proposal s117 Ministerial direction 1.5 Rural Lands is also relevant.

The State Environmental Planning Policy Rural (the SEPP) provides the state policy for the management, development and protection of rural lands. The SEPP is further supported by

The proposal argues that it is consistent with the rural planning principles of the SEPP because it will increase the agricultural intensity of the land, facilitating small scale boutique style developments and expanding the tourism value of rural lands. However the evidence provided to support this view is limited and the promotion of Agri-tourism is cautioned by Department of Primary Industries in their submission on the proposal. DPI in particular advises that for agriculture industries to be sustainable, tourism needs to be ancillary and not dominate the area. The proposal is not considered to balance the social, economic and environmental interest of the community as required by the SEPP.

The proposal argues that it is consistent with the rural subdivision principles of the SEPP because it is consistent with the predominant surrounding lot size and because the proposed subdivision will increase the agricultural productivity of the land. While there are existing 10ha lots adjoining this site, this does not lessen the impact of further fragmentation of the land, nor recognise the lot size of the broader area. The lot size controls in place currently enable the subdivision to 40ha lots that provide for viticulture and tourism activities at a scale consistent with the majority of the Pokolbin area and establishes the rural character of the area that is key to the visitor experience.

The existing 10ha lots adjoining this area are an anomaly when compared to the rest of the Pokolbin area. There is insufficient evidence to demonstrate that this type of development (10ha lots) should be expanded, or that this site is an appropriate location, and that the further subdivision of this land will be beneficial to the district. The demand for smaller lots has been expressed by individual owners but not by any agency or industry body as support for change to the current approach to planning and minimum lot sizes for the area.

The proposal indicates that it will minimise rural land use conflict by adherence to Council's development control plan. However Council's development control plan provides controls considerably more generous that those provided for the remainder of the Critical Industry Cluster and were recommended for review in 2014 to ensure the protection of the viticulture industry. It is not certain that the existing controls are adequate to minimise land use conflict if the 10 hectare subdivision pattern is to expand beyond its current limited location.

There is no evidence nor is there any strategic body of work to support the extension of the RU4 zone into this area. This issue was identified by the Department of Primary Industries who raised concerns with land use conflict as a result of expanding the RU4 zone in their submission to Council on the Hermitage Road Planning Study.

LOCAL STRATEGIES

Singleton Land Use Strategy 2008

The strategy provides direction for rural planning: "Where the predominant land use is other than grazing and where lot sizes are less than this already, the 40ha minimum should be retained'.

The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with the local strategy in this regard and the departure from this direction has not been justified. It is noted that the Startegy is under review but merely dismissing the inconsistency as because the strategy is outdated is

	inadequate justification.		
	prepared for Singleton Sh study recommended that more detailed work on pla The study also included 1 planning consultants hav However this response: - does not recognise or a	eter Andrews 2014) tent with the Hermitage Road Pokol hire Council for the purpose of advi the 40 hectare minimum lot size be anning for the area should be unde 11 key issues to be addressed if the re prepared a response to the 11 iss ddress the proposals inconsistency es of the Rural Lands SEPP.	sing on this proposal. The retained and that further, rtaken. proposal was to proceed. JBA sues.
	- does not recognise that site or that the site forms not address the inconsist	the Upper Hunter Strategic Region part of the critical industry cluster tencies with this State Plan.	(viticulture). It therefore does
Environmental social economic impacts :	- does not justify its inconsistency with the Singleton Land Use Strategy 2008. The impact of this proposal on the environment is considered to be able to be managed at the local government level through Development Applications and appropriate conditions of consent. The supporting reports included threatened species and habitat investigations that identified no constraints over the site, however they did identify potential habitat trees and environments that could be retained and managed through Development consent conditions.		
	is unknown. The Pokolbi and receives the most vis maintaining its rural char provisions such as the 40	conomic impact of the proposal on in district is the most accessible of sitors and activity. One of the key o racter and charm, hence any depart Oha provision that alter the rural ch proader vision for the Pokolbin dist	the Hunters Vineyards districts components to its success is sure from the existing planning aracter needs to be considered
	consideration of planning does not account for the	lack of detailed strategic planning g g outside the status quo. The prop existing potential supply that exist proposal on existing agricultural a	osal provides tourism data that s within the district already.
ssessment Proces	38		
	Inconsistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Proposal type :			
Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	DDG
Timeframe to make	NSW Department of Prim	Delegation : ary Industries - Agriculture	DDG
Timeframe to make _EP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Department of Prim	ary Industries - Agriculture	DDG
Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority	NSW Department of Prim	ary Industries - Agriculture	DDG

The proposal is inconsistent with the Singleton Land Use Strategy 2008 which recommends that rural land retain its 40 hectare minimum lot size.

The proposal is inconsistent with the Hermitage Road Pokolbin Planning Study which was prepared for Singleton Shire Council for the purpose of advising on this proposal and recommended that the 40 hectare minimum lot size be retained and that further, more detailed work on planning for the area should be undertaken.

The proposed local provision is considered difficult to administer and regulate and therefore unlikely to achieve Councils' intended objective.

The adjoining subdivision is cited as an example of successful intensive agricultural precinct, however the submitted land use audit demonstrates limited agricultural activity and a dominance of tourism related enterprises and individual residential dwellings.

The Department of Primary Industries (Agriculture) have previously provided comment on the proposal as part of a broader submission. The promotion of Agri-tourism such as this is cautioned because, for agriculture industries to be sustainable, tourism needs to be ancillary and not dominate the area. Their submission specifically recommends against the rezoning of the site to RU4 Primary Production Small Lots due to the likelihood of landuse conflict.

There is insufficient strategic justification to support the expansion of this type of development at this point in time. It is considered that the proposal has the potential to establish a precedent that threatens the Pokolbin Vineyards District and the Viticultural Critical Industry Cluster more broadly.

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

The matters raised in this assessment are too significant to be resolved through an individual planning proposal or by an individual council.

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

No additional studies have been identified because the proposal is not recommended to proceed.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Acoustic Assessment - Hermitage Road Planning	Study	Yes
Proposal Report.pdf		
Appendix C_ Soil , Land and Agricultural Assessment	Study	Yes
ReportLR.pdf		
Appendix G_Constraints and Opportunities	Study	Yes
AssessmentLR.pdf	27	
Bushfire Assessment Appendix - Hermitage Road	Study	Yes
Planning Proposal Report.pdf		
Heritage Assessment - Hermitage Road Planning	Study	Yes
Proposal Report.pdf		
JBA Planning Report - Hermitage Road Planning	Study	Yes
Proposal Reportpdf		

Study	Yes
Study	Yes
Мар	Yes
Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Proposal	Yes
Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
	Study Map Proposal Covering Letter Proposal

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Not Recommended

ing proposal supported at this stage : Not Recommended
 1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 1.5 Rural Lands 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
The key issue associated with this proposal relates to its potential impacts on the agricultural significance of the Pokolbin Vineyards District and the Critical Industry Cluster (Viticulture) more generally.
Kaklon Kaklahary Date: 27-5-16